

IN RE: : IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
ADOPTION OF ADDENDUM AMERICANS : : LAWRENCE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA
WITH DISABILITIES ACT POLICY : : NO. 90057 OF 2024 A.D.

JS

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER OF COURT

AND NOW, this 6th day of March, 2024, it is ORDERED and DECREED that the Court of Common Pleas of Lawrence County, Pennsylvania, does hereby adopt the attached Addendum Americans with Disabilities Act Policy for the 53rd Judicial District. Such policy shall be and remain in full force and effect in the 53rd Judicial District, it being the policy of this Judicial District to conform to the position of the United States Department of Justice as to the matters addressed in such policy.

The Clerk of Courts shall exit this Order, with attachment, to all Commissioned Judges of the 53rd Judicial District; Michael Occhibone, District Court Administrator; Jamie Jendrysik, Director of the Adult Probation Office of Lawrence County; Joshua D. Lamancusa, Esq., District Attorney of Lawrence County; Lawrence M. Keith, Esq., Public Defender of Lawrence County; and Karen King, Human Resources Director.

The Lawrence County District Court Administrator is further directed to transmit a copy of this Administrative Order, with attachment, to Andrea B. Touminen, State Court

FILED/ORIGINAL

2024 MAR -6 PM 2: 25

JUSTICE M. M. SOLDI
REC. AND CLERK

53RD
JUDICIAL
DISTRICT

LAWRENCE COUNTY
PENNSYLVANIA

JS

Administrator, and to Legal Assistant Jennifer Love at
jennifer.love@pacourts.us.

BY THE COURT:

 P.J.
Dominick Motto
President Judge

dma

FILED/ORIGINAL

2024 MAR -6 PM 2: 25

ROBERTA M. SOLDO
PRO. CLERK

Addendum ADA Policy for the 53rd Judicial District

It is the policy of this judicial district to prohibit discrimination against all individuals—including those with substance use disorder—in accessing or participating in judicial proceedings or other Court services, programs, or activities.

The United States Department of Justice maintains that blanket or *per se* bans barring or otherwise limiting persons under court supervision (including pretrial probation and release, post-conviction probation and parole, and Problem-Solving Courts—including Adult, Juvenile, or Family Drug Court; DUI Court, Adult or Juvenile Mental Health Court; veterans Treatment Court; Domestic Violence Court) from accessing physician-prescribed medications and treatment is a violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

It is the policy of this judicial district to conform to the position of the United States Department of Justice in the following respects.

Absent an individualized determination, as more fully described below, no judge, unit, or member of this judicial district may prohibit or otherwise limit an individual's use of medication that they have been lawfully prescribed, and that they are taking as prescribed, to treat substance use disorder.

Decisions about whether a person should be prescribed medication, and about medication type and dosage, are to be made only by a licensed prescriber on an individualized basis.

No judge, unit, or member of this judicial district will interfere with a licensed prescriber's decisions about an individual's appropriate medication and treatment regimen.

No judge, unit, or member of this judicial district will express a preference for, or mandate, one medication over another nor in any way penalize or restrict an individual participating in a court proceeding or program from taking their medication as prescribed.

No judge, unit, or member of this judicial district will condition admission to, participation in, or successful completion of a Problem-Solving Court or other court program, service, or activity on reducing, weaning off, or abstaining from taking prescribed medication.

No judge, unit, or member of this judicial district will rely upon prior illicit use of medication for substance use disorder as grounds for prohibiting current use of medication for substance use disorder that comes from a licensed prescriber.

2024 MAR -6 PM 2: 25

JOS. KLIDON-BOLDO
PRO. AM. CLERK

Individuals with substance use disorder who are participating in a court proceeding or program may be required to comply with the treatment recommendations of a licensed prescriber.

This Policy is not intended to interfere with appropriate exercises of judicial discretion in individual cases. To that end, nothing in this Policy limits a judge's discretion to order that an individual be evaluated for medical treatment or comply with a treatment plan as a condition of release, probation, supervision, or participation in a Problem-Solving Court or other court or probation program. In issuing such an order, a judge should make an individualized determination, based on the information available, which may include an individual's criminal, medical, and probation history. An individual's previous illicit use of a medication is not grounds for prohibiting their use of that medication going forward as directed by their licensed provider.

Judges have the authority to monitor medication compliance in the context of a term of probation, supervision, or condition of release and to further the court's public safety obligation. When a judge is concerned about an individual's use or misuse of medication, the judge may act to mitigate and reduce the risk of abuse, misuse, and diversion of medication. In many cases, appropriate action will include, among other things, communication with the prescriber by a probation officer or other UJS personnel as directed by the judge.

Compliance with the ADA does not require that a court allow an individual to participate in, or benefit from, its services or programs if the person poses a "direct threat to the health or safety of others." 28 C.F.R. § 35.139. A determination that an individual poses a direct threat must be grounded in current medical knowledge or the best available objective evidence to ascertain: the nature, duration, and severity of the risk; the probability that the potential injury will actually occur; and whether reasonable modifications of policies, practices, or procedures or the provision of auxiliary aids or services will mitigate the risk. *Id.* A court may not conclude that an individual prescribed medication poses a "direct threat" based on generalizations or scientifically unsupported assumptions about medications or persons who are prescribed medication.

Individuals who believe there has been a violation of this Policy may file a grievance pursuant to the Grievance Procedure of the Lawrence County Treatment Court Program, or file such grievance with the Lawrence County District Court Administrator.